Understanding deforestation and forest fragmentation from a livelihood perspective

Zora Lea Urech, Julie Gwendolin Zaehringen, Olivia Rickenbach, Jean-Pierre Sorg, Hans Rudolf Felber

Correspondence: Zora Lea Urech, HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation, Switzerland. E-mail: urech.z@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Worldwide, forests provide a wide variety of resources to rural inhabitants, and especially to the poor. In Madagascar, forest resources make important contributions to the livelihoods of the rural population living at the edges of these forests. Although people benefit from forest resources, forests are continuously cleared and converted into arable land. Despite long-term efforts on the part of researchers, development cooperation projects and government, Madagascar has not been able to achieve a fundamental decrease in deforestation. The question of why deforestation continues in spite of such efforts remains.

To answer this question, we aimed at understanding deforestation and forest fragmentation from the perspective of rural households in the Manompana corridor on the east coast. Applying a sustainable livelihood approach, we explored local social-ecological systems to understand (i) how livelihood strategies leading to deforestation evolve and (ii) how the decrease of forest impacts on households' strategies. Results highlight the complexity of the environmental, cultural and political context in which households' decision-making takes place. Further, we found crucial impacts of deforestation and forest fragmentation on livelihood systems, but also recognized that people have been able to adapt to the changing landscapes without major impacts on their welfare.

RéSUMÉ

Partout dans le monde les forêts fournissent une grande variété de ressources aux habitants des régions rurales, particulièrement aux plus pauvres. À Madagascar, les ressources forestières contribuent dans une grande mesure aux moyens d’existence des populations riveraines des forêts. Cependant, bien que les populations tirent parti des ressources de la forêt, les défichements ne cessent pas et la conversion des zones boisées en terres cultivables se poursuit. Malgré les efforts entrepris depuis des années par les milieux de la recherche et du développement ainsi que par le gouvernement, Madagascar n’a pas encore connu d’inversion du rythme de la déforestation. Pourquoi les défichements se poursuivent-ils en dépit des efforts entrepris ? C’est à cette question que nous souhaitons apporter une réponse en essayant de comprendre la déforestation et la fragmentation des forêts en prenant en compte les moyens d’existence des ménages ruraux dans le corridor de Manompana, côte Est de Madagascar. En tenant parti de la méthodologie SLA (sustainable livelihood approach), nous avons analysé les systèmes d’existence des populations locales dans le but de comprendre (i) comment évoluent les stratégies de vie impliquant la déforestation et (ii) quel est l’impact de la diminution des surfaces forestières sur les stratégies de vie des ménages. Les résultats mettent en évidence la complexité du contexte environnemental, culturel et politique dans lequel les ménages sont amenés à prendre leurs décisions. La déforestation et la fragmentation des forêts exercent des impacts cruciaux sur les moyens d’existence des ménages. Cependant, il apparaît également que les populations sont en mesure de s’adapter à des modifications des paysages sans que cela n’entraîne d’effets majeurs sur leur bien-être. Notre recherche s’est déroulée dans quatre villages, dont deux proches de grands massifs forestiers, les deux autres éloignés des massifs et voisins de fragments de forêts. D’intéressantes différences ont été mises en évidence entre les deux catégories de villages en ce qui concerne l’interface homme-forêt et la perception du rôle joué par la forêt aujourd’hui et à long terme.

INTRODUCTION

Deforestation of tropical forests around the globe has been happening for tens of thousands of years (Malm et al. 2014). The underlying drivers have shown to be manifold and interacting with each other (Geist and Lambin 2002). While in many countries the main drivers today are the expansion of large scale agribusiness and a rising demand for forest products by urban populations (Lambin and Meyfroidt 2011), the deforestation frontier of eastern Madagascar is still characterized by smallholders’ agricultural expansion for subsistence needs (Zaehringen et al. 2015).

 Madagascar’s tropical rainforests contain a unique biodiversity (Myers et al. 2000) and provide a broad variety of products and environmental services to local populations and their livelihoods (Kremen et al. 1998). Yet, despite their importance, forests have been used since the first human settlement in Madagascar around 2000 B.C. (Dewar et al. 2013), existing evidence documents a general trend of forest loss (McConnell and Kull 2014) and forest
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were (i) to identify core and context factors of livelihood systems that lead to agricultural expansion at the expense of natural forests and (ii) to analyze how farmers’ livelihood systems are affected by deforestation, in order to understand the evolution of livelihood systems and strategies, we worked in a transect covering different forest landscapes with different deforestation rates over the past decades. With the aim of obtaining a broad understanding we put our own empirical data in a wider context and complemented it with information from other scientific research articles.

**METHODOLOGY**

**STUDY SITE.** Geographical situation: The Manompana corridor study site (cf. Urech et al. 2012) is located on the east coast of Madagascar in the region of Analalavory, district Soanierana-Vongo, and comprises the three municipalities of Manompana, Ambahoabe and Antenina. The Manompana corridor comprises a forested area of around 30,000 ha. From 2007 to 2012 a forest project called KoloAla Manompana was implemented in the corridor, aimed at transferring the management rights of the forest resources to the local communities in order to allow local communities to benefit from sustainable timber harvesting and trade (Urech et al. 2013). The nearest town with a bigger market and connected to the town Manompana with a tarred street is Soanierana-Vongo. This market is accessible in about 1–2 days walking time from the villages within the Manompana corridor. Only small local markets selling staple foods exist along the tarred street in the Manompana corridor, following the coast. From remote villages, the road is reachable in 7–8 hours walking time, across swampy and hilly landscapes. Annually, Manompana experiences several tropical cyclones (Jury et al. 1999), causing serious damage to agriculture and infrastructure.

Population: All households within the study site are involved in a mixed-production system combining subsistence rice and staple crop cultivation, with market-crop production in some cases. Staple crops (rain-fed rice, manioc, sweet potato) are mainly cultivated with slash-and-burn systems on slopes; if households have access to suitable land, they also cultivate irrigated rice in paddies on valley bottoms. Terraces on slopes for crop cultivation are nonexistent in this zone. For income generation, households sell rice surplus and market crops such as cloves, vanilla, coffee oritchi. Most households cultivate 1–3 land slots in an agroforestry system, combining annual crops (manioc, sweet potato, sugar cane, etc.) and trees (clove, papaya, jackfruit and other fruit and non-fruit trees or bushes). The large majority of the study site’s population belongs to the Betsimiara ethnic group and around 89% of households in the Manompana corridor are living below the national poverty line (INSTAT 2011).

**SUSTAINABLE LIVELIHOOD APPROACH.** In order to gain a holistic understanding of households’ livelihood systems and decision-making processes with respect to deforestation, the Sustainable Livelihood Approach (SLA), as described in Högger and Baumgartner (2004) and Eyhorn (2007), was chosen as the conceptual framework. Compared to other livelihood frameworks, the SLA also takes further dimensions into account, such as the personality characteristics of individuals, their perceptions, emotions, attachments and traditions (Eyhorn 2007). It integrates the analyses of (i) livelihood context factors, (ii) the livelihood core factors and strategy development and (ii) the livelihood outcomes.
Decisions which lead to deforestation in our study site are taken on the individual level, but can be influenced by factors connected to an ethnic group, the village or even the national level. The SLA is the most adequate approach for the analytical distinction of the broad variety of factors that influence households in our study site.

**CONTEXT FACTORS.** Context factors are the dynamic external conditions influencing the strategy development process of a household. The SLA divides these factors into opportunities, risks and vulnerabilities; policies, institutions and organizations; and processes and services. We analyzed the 'opportunities' that forests provide that could pose incentives to households to decrease deforestation and forest fragmentation; thus, opportunities that could positively influence households' decision-making process towards a more sustainable forest management.

As 'risks and vulnerabilities' we assessed possible events or realities that can negatively impact livelihoods and drive people to clear forests. Risks are in our case mainly biophysical events (e.g., climatic variability, cyclones, disease). Such risks can lead to vulnerability depending on the household's ability to cope with them. Similarly, we explored 'policies, institutions, organizations and processes' as well as existent and non-existent farmer support 'services' that could influence households' decisions with respect to deforestation.

**CORE FACTORS AND STRATEGY DEVELOPMENT.** Personal, emotional and spiritual aspects and orientations are considered the core factors of rural livelihood systems which directly influence the decision-making process of a household (Eyhorn 2007). Core factors and the resulting decision-making process are analyzed with the help of the nine-square mandala (Höger 2004). It can be depicted as a house (Supplementary Material 2) with the three floors representing (i) the orientations at the individual, family and community level in the roof layer, (ii) the interactions of socioeconomic aspects as well as family and individual dimensions and (iii) the household's material resources, its knowledge, skills and emotional values as the household's foundation. Livelihood strategies reflect the range of activities and choices that people make based on the given context and core factors (Eyhorn 2007).

**LIVELIHOOD OUTCOMES.** Livelihood outcomes are the achievements of livelihood strategies (Chambers 1995, Nadel 2007). The outcomes then feedback into the livelihood system and influence all its dimensions (context factors, core factors and strategy development). In this study, we aimed to analyze what outcomes result directly from deforestation and forest fragmentation. We worked in villages along a landscape transect covering different forest landscapes; from scarcely forested areas up to densely forested areas.

Based on satellite image interpretation (Rabenialana 2011) we know that the villages with scarce forested areas lost a large amount of forest resources in the past few decades, due to deforestation. Working along a landscape transect allowed us to understand how the decrease of forest resources influences livelihood systems.

**DATA COLLECTION.** Research was conducted in four villages situated at differing distances to the forest massif and with varying forest resource availability (Table 1). We understand the term 'forest massif' as the entire contiguous forest area of the Manopampa corridor as well as forest patches with a surface of more than 500 ha and a distance of less than 100 m to the contiguous forest area. In two villages, Lambofampana and Maromotaty, forest still covers 75% and 85% of the total village territory, respectively, and villages are situated near the large contiguous forest massif (<0.5 hours walking time). Thus, deforestation and forest fragmentation are assumed to not yet have had an immediate, measurable impact on local livelihoods. The other two villages, Bevalaiana and Antsahabe, are situated far from the forest (>1 hour walking time) and have highly fragmented and degraded forest covers of 20% and 43%, respectively. We know that these villages were also situated near to the forest massif in the past (Green and Suessmann 1990). Thus, deforestation and forest fragmentation are assumed to have already exerted a measurable outcome on local livelihoods. The selection of the two villages near the forest massif and the two villages far from the forest massif allowed us to analyze the direct outcomes of deforestation and forest fragmentation.

In order to limit our investigations to factors and strategies relevant to our research question, we first had to obtain an overall understanding of the local situation. Therefore, open discussions with randomly selected households (total N=20) were conducted in the four villages. The discussions covered were related to major problems and key livelihood strategies, the relatedness between people and natural resources and general core and context factors.

Specific details with regard to forest use, deforestation and agricultural expansion were explored using household surveys (N=110) and focus group discussions (N=24) with five participants each, disaggregated by gender and wealth. Furthermore, we used participatory and direct observation techniques (Marshall and Rossman 2011). Additional semi-structured interviews with resource persons (e.g., village authorities, village elders) allowed for the triangulation of results (Denzin 1970).

**QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE DATA INTERPRETATION.** Most data have been qualitatively analyzed and interpreted. We grouped and categorized frequent statements from households and focus groups and took different factors such as gender and wealth into account. This allowed us to identify driving forces of current livelihood strategies of local households. In the analysis, we focused mainly on those household strategies that were com-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Ambofantana</th>
<th>Maromotaty</th>
<th>Bevalaiana</th>
<th>Antsahabe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distance to forest massif (walking time in hr)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category of distance to forest massif</td>
<td>near</td>
<td>near</td>
<td>far</td>
<td>far</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest cover (% of total village territory)</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest fragments (% of forest cover in village territory)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of households living in village</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market proximity (walking time in hr)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary school is available</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of village (foundation year)</td>
<td>around 1980</td>
<td>around 1958</td>
<td>around 1910</td>
<td>around 1950</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
mon for a larger part of the population or the collective, rather than on single exceptional strategies. However, strategies representing either a potential benefit or a hazard to the collective (e.g., if an individual household does not respect the common community rules) have also been considered. Since our aim was to provide a comprehensive understanding of farmers’ complex realities we complemented our own empirical data with the existing scientific knowledge in this region (Jänes 1993, Brand and Pfund 1998, Styger et al. 1999, Pfund 2000, Kistler and Messeri 2002, Messeri 2002, Aubert et al. 2003, Kull 2004, Huve 2006, Keller 2008, Pollini 2009, Rakotoarison 2009, Muttenzer 2010, Gorenflo et al. 2011).

To test the correlation of quantitative non-parametrical data in relation to the distance of the four studied villages to the forest massif, the Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient was used. To test the difference between the two categories near and far from the forest massif for significance, the Pearson’s X2-test was applied.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

CONTEXT FACTORS. Opportunities provided by forests: We found several situations in which forest resources could potentially provide opportunities for simultaneously improving local livelihoods and preserving the forests. Forests provide diverse products that are used for personal consumption and income generation. All interviewed households depend on timber for house and tool construction, and 75% of households use edible non-timber forest products (NTFPs) such as tuber, roots, fruits and palm hearts to complement cultivated crops or to enhance cash income (Table 2). This is especially important during the lean season, when households have consumed all rice from the last harvest and not yet harvested again. However, the quantity of edible NTFPs is very small and insufficient to feed a whole household (mean of five persons). Other products used for household consumption are fuel wood, plants for bracing activities and medicinal plants.

Cash income from NTFPs or timber is generated by 47% (N=19) of all households. However, the annual income per household generated from forest products is only 0.7% (1.6 Euro) to 9.3% (19.7 Euro) of the total annual cash income per household (Urech et al. 2012). This is very low compared to the income generated through forest products in other regions of Madagascar (Shyamsundar and Kramer 1996). In the Manompana corridor, NTFPs as well as timber products are sold at prices that do not match the amount of time and effort people spend for harvest and transport. However, the potential of forest products to increase monetary benefit is exploited only to a limited extent. According to Rabenijalana (2011) the high potential of precious woods, mainly of the genus Dalbergia, in the Manompana corridor could, at least for households in the two remote study villages Maromtyte and Ambofampana, provide a maximum annual gross income of up to 40 Euro per household, if harvested sustainably. This corresponds to 19% of the mean annual income per household in the region (Rakotoarison 2009). Thus, the potential is considerably higher than the current earnings from timber trade and NTFPs combined. Nevertheless, limited market access in the two remotest villages hampers the harvest of precious woods for trade. In contrast, in the two villages enjoying better market access, the potential of precious woods is already fully exploited (Rabenijalana 2011). People coming from other territories log the remaining precious woods illegally. For instance, over a ten-day observation period in an accessible forest near one of the study villages, we observed 82 loggers. They transported timber by foot, carrying one timber board on their shoulder. Of the 82 observed loggers, 78 came from neighboring territories. Thus, the benefits from the village’s precious wood are lost to households in other territories. Since the management rights for forest resources have not yet been transferred to the villages, they have no legal basis to defend their forest territories.

Risks and Vulnerabilities: Risks in the Manompana corridor are represented by the highly variable environmental (e.g., natural hazards) and economic context (high price fluctuations) as well as by diseases or death of a family member. Due to extreme poverty, households in the study site are particularly vulnerable to these risks, as they are unable to cope with such changes. Examples would be that they cannot hire additional labor to cope with labor shortages or spend money and time to rebuild irrigation systems if a cyclone has destroyed them.

Despite planting rice and other staple crops for subsistence, 60% of all households have to buy additional food during the lean season because they do not produce enough crops to feed all household members. The majority of households are therefore engaged in casual day labor to generate additional cash. Moser and Barrett (2006) identified dependency on day wages and thus reduced labor availability for the households’ own fields as one of the most important factors hindering farmers from improving agricultural practices. In our study site, households do not have enough time, money and flexibility to experiment with risky new technologies and thus prefer to maintain their low-input tavy system. Unfortunately, the main potentiality to escape poverty depends on increasing the productivity of one’s own field (ibid). Thus, households are caught in a poverty trap (Rakodi 2002, Sachs et al. 2004).

Diseases, such as malaria, which is highly prevalent in the region (WHO 2014), constitute another permanent risk as they can fatally reduce labor availability for agricultural activities.

According to the interviewed households, decreasing soil fertility in the whole region further constrains already low yields, and through this increases their vulnerability to natural hazards. Where possible, households thus extend their land under fallow. Cyclones do not only periodically devastate or damage annual rice crops but also destroy irrigation systems and perennial market crops on agroforestry parcels. This deters households from experimenting with permanent agricultural systems and undermines their nutritional and economic security.

With regards to important market crops (e.g., clove trees and vanilla), price fluctuations are another factor reducing motivation to invest household resources into agricultural diversification. Some agroforestry plots were even cleared for this reason. A stable market system that could guarantee a minimum annual income from specific market crops could significantly assist the-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories of products provided by forests</th>
<th>Household harvesting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timber for house construction</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food (tuber, roots, fruits, palm heart)</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timber for fuel wood</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant leaves for bracing</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicinal plants</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Number and percentage of households (out of 110) collecting different categories of forest products for personal consumption.
versification of households’ production and agricultural systems.

Policies, institutions, organizations and processes: According to the policies of the state government, forests are state property and any forest clearance is strictly forbidden. This ban seems to have little effect on local practices. Currently, local customary rights determine forest management and forest clearance in these remote areas. Most commonly, the process of deforestation around our study villages occurs in two steps: (i) forest fragmentation and (ii) forest clearance. By segregating a forest fragment from the large forest massif, households are subsequently considered the rightful owners of the newly created forest fragments next to their arable land, following the local customary right. Consequently, the right to clear the forest fragment is restricted to them (Aubert 2008, Mutterner 2010, Urch et al. 2011).

As the state forest service has failed to control and assure forest conservation through a centralized forest management policy (Kull 2004), a framework for community-based forest management was established in 1996 (Bertrand et al. 1999). Based on this framework, a local conservation and development project, aimed to establish the necessary local institutions for sustainable and economically beneficial forest management, has been set up in the Manohana corridor. Hence, management rights were transferred to local communities. Local inhabitants should have control of timber logging in allocated areas and can thus benefit directly from the timber trade. The general aim of placing value on existing precious woods, enabling the local population to benefit from them and assuring sustainable forest management through community-based management, is a fundamental opportunity for local inhabitants. However, a recent study by Rasolofoson et al. (2015) showed that commercial community-based forest management can only contribute to reducing deforestation in Madagascar if institutional shortcomings are solved and local participation is guaranteed.

While decentralized community-based forest management could present an opportunity for local people, it is also highly challenging. If the tavy practice continues as it has until today sustainable forest management cannot be realized. For households to be able to reduce their dependence on tavy, alternative, productive and sustainable agricultural techniques are needed. Our research shows that officially accepted land tenure rights are also an important barrier preventing households from investing time and labor in the improvement of their agricultural systems. According to the state law very few individuals are recognized landowners in the two villages near the forest massif, official land ownership does not even exist. Agricultural land for tavy is traditionally distributed among children by their parents. As long as parents have not officially distributed their land, descendents have to cultivate another plot of land every year, allocated by the parents. Thus, many young households have little motivation to invest more time and labor in their cultivation systems, than absolutely necessary, as they cannot be sure to reap the long-term benefits of their investments. Additionally, many households have to lease a plot of land from another owner because they do not own land in their family or because they have immigrated. Several households of the same lineage also cultivate some land areas jointly, in which case no one feels responsible for improving production. This complex situation of land tenure combined with the fact that many farmers do not own land hinders the planting of trees for market crops (stated by 40% of the farmers), because households can only plant trees if they traditionally own the land.

Thus, households need to own their land to improve yields and to diversify their systems with trees. This, however, can often only be achieved by clearing the forest.

Farmer support services: Manompana’s farmers cultivate their hill rice in the same fashion as their ancestors have for centuries. The villages in our study site do not receive support from the government or from NGOs for improving production systems or introducing new agricultural techniques. In regions with better access to roads or rivers, only one organization financed by foreign donors tries to implement a system of intensified rice cultivation (SRI) on irrigated fields. Although experimental studies have shown that, in Madagascar, SRI could increase yields (Barison 2002, Uphoff and Randriamiharisoa 2002), these systems are poorly adopted by local households in ours as well as in other regions (Moser and Barrett 2003). Furthermore, results from interviews and literature review (Hume 2006) show that improved crop yields on irrigated rice fields do not replace the system of tavy on slopes; among other reasons, some of the farmers do simply not have access to irrigated rice fields. To improve current agricultural production and to change the current tavy system, low-investment technologies that can be applied to steep slopes and small plots are necessary. Such innovative technologies were developed by research institutions in Madagascar, e.g., direct seeding on permanent vegetal cover (O. Hussain pers. comm.). However, pest and disease control in the absence of chemical inputs is often a major constraint for the success of those technologies (Messeri 2002). Furthermore, households’ flexibility to experiment is strongly restricted by the availability of money (Uphoff and Langholtz 1995), time and the fear of cyclones. In any case, replacing traditional systems of tavy with a permanent and sustainable cultivation system will require the constant and long-term support of professional technicians (O. Hussain pers. comm.).

With regard to forest management, the state forest service is nearly nonexistent in rural areas. The state forest service has one person responsible for the control and monitoring of the whole Analamiro region, which includes 1.2 million ha of forests. Considering the remoteness and inaccessibility of most of the region, we can conclude that the control of these forests by a single person is impossible. Community-based forest management might be a step in the right direction, but the local population needs stronger support from the forest service in order to develop the necessary skills to manage forest resources on their own and to resolve possible conflicts among stakeholders.

CORE FACTORS AND STRATEGY DEVELOPMENT: Enhance food security through risk minimization: Producing enough crops to feed all household members is the main aim of households in the study site. The current strategy to maintain soil fertility is to keep long fallow periods. As a result, forests are cleared to make new agricultural land available. In villages close to the forest, fallow periods are up to 10 years, while in villages far from the forest fallow periods have decreased to about five years. Compared to the crop yield of tavy systems, traditional irrigated rice cultivation can produce twice as much (Brand 1998). But, as stated by farmers, the latter requires higher time investment to prepare the terrain, to transplant the seedlings and build irrigation systems, and to rebuild them after the damages caused by annual cyclones. Furthermore, farmers explained during interviews that even if irrigated fields produced more than enough rice for personal consumption, they would still continue with tavy, in order to
diversify their systems and to reduce the risk of crop failure due to cyclones. Tavy is known to be a flexible, low-intensive and cyclone adapted system in other regions (ibid). Households stated that they prefer to grow food in slash-and-burn systems in order to enhance food security in the short-term. Moreover, due to the rugged topography, 34% of households in the remote villages do not have access to suitable land to cultivate irrigated rice.

Attain customary land ownership through deforestation: As described above, according to customary law, households can become traditional owners of forest fragments and land through clearing forests. Especially for poorer households or immigrants this is often the only possibility to attain land ownership. Thus, many landless people move to very remote regions where they can find a contiguous forest mass if not yet owned by other families. When more land is needed for future descendants or if soil fertility in the tavy system is decreasing, households begin to clear their own forest fragments to bring the forest soil into production.

Attachment to ancestors: The system of tavy, as we observed in the Manompana corridor, is an integral part of the culture pertaining to the region’s dominant ethnic group of the Betsimisaraka. This is the case also for other regions of eastern Madagascar (Bertrand and Lemalade 2008). Keller (2008) observed on the Masoala peninsula that the conversion of forest into arable land is considered essential for ensuring a connection between the ancestors and future generations. Descendants should be rooted in the land of the ancestors by cultivating their land (ibid), and forests are ancestral land. According to long tradition, deforestation and subsequent cultivation are a means of guaranteeing this connection.

Another important element of the Betsimisaraka’s culture, which could be observed in our study site as well as in other regions of the eastern escarpment (Kistler and Messeri 2002), is the duty to honor the ancestral way of life and continue with the same systems of cultivation as were used in the past. Thus, these traditions hinder households from experimenting with new technologies, as they provoke social pressure from other villagers. Many taboos are linked to cultivation systems, especially tavy, and village chiefs and other village members control the application of taboos. Village chiefs in our study site noted that if households renounce on particular taboos, village authorities must sanction them. This was the case if farmers applied new technologies or if they did not respect the two to three days (according to the individual village) per week during which farmers are not allowed to work in their agricultural fields.

Individual, family and community orientation towards forest conservation: 62 households (N=110) claimed to be very motivated to conserve their remaining forest fragments and to stop forest clearance by tavy. These were mainly wealthier households who already own large areas of land and are aware of the finiteness of natural resources (Urech et al. 2012). To enhance sustainable forest management, such individual interests preserving forest fragments must become collective concerns; otherwise communal interventions and regulations will fail (Ostrom 1999).

However, according to Cole (2001), the mobilization of the Betismisaraka into acting as a community has always been difficult, which is in line with Berkes (2004) who showed that the concept of a ‘community’ is very heterogeneous. Families are more strongly attached to their lineages than to spatial organizations or administrative structures imposed by the state. Although we could identify communal regulations that predict a sustainable use for some NTFPs (e.g., Pandanaceae) we did not observe any community-based approaches with regard to sustainable forest management as a whole. Forests are ancestral land and accordingly managed by lineage and clans, as observed in other regions of Madagascar (Kull 2004, Muterzer 2006). Thus, while bans on the clearance of certain forest fragments or restrictions on the use of forest products exist, they are based on clan or lineage-specific taboos. We found several such remaining forests near the two villages close to the forest mass if in the two other villages, however, most of these so-called ‘sacred forests’ have already been cleared by lineages that do not have to respect the specific taboo. Therefore, lineage-specific taboos are no guarantee for forest protection. Moreover, taboos can change within a family as resources become scarce (Fedié et al. 2011, Urech et al. 2011). This shows that orientations which could enhance forest conservation differ between lineages but can be adapted to changing circumstances over time.

Awareness of forest depletion: While exploring households’ decision-making processes, we questioned people about the consequences of a landscape without forests on their livelihood systems. Most households living close to the forest mass if are unable to envision a landscape without forests and are thus not aware of forest resource’s finiteness. Households living far from the forest mass if are significantly more aware of the exhaustibility of forest resources. They have witnessed the large-scale disappearance of forest resources and the consequent scarcity of resources. The further households were living from the forest mass if, the more able they were to name forest products existing in the past from the village territory (Spearman’s correlation, r=0.305, N=88, p=0.004). We also asked households if they would agree to a prohibition of the expansion of tavy practice on natural forest in their village territory. The further away the village is from the forest mass if, the higher the agreement is to prohibit such expansions in order to preserve remaining forests (Spearman’s correlation, r=0.557, N=96, p<0.001).

**LIVELIHOOD OUTCOMES AND THEIR INFLUENCE ON LIVELIHOOD SYSTEMS.** The number of households collecting timber and NTFPs for personal use does not differ significantly between households living near or far from the forest mass if (Table 3). However, there is a significantly higher proportion of households living far from the mass if who gain cash with timber (Pearson’s X² = 7.08, df=1, p=0.008). This can be explained by market accessibility. Better than by proximity to the forest mass if. Near the forest mass if, people have better access to precious woods but cannot exploit it because of market inaccessibility. In contrast, the number of households selling NTFPs is significantly higher close to the mass if (Pearson’s X² = 15.07, df=1, p<0.001). This is due to the proximity to the forests where NTFPs are still available in high quantities and are of good quality. Moreover, NTFPs are easier to carry over long distances to markets than timber.

| Table 3. Number of households (n=110) collecting timber and NTFPs for personal use or trade, separated by the distance to the forest mass if (near and far). |
|---|---|---|
| Answers from questioned households (N=110) | Near forest mass | Far from forest mass |
| Number | % | Number | % |
| Timber harvest for personal use | 48 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| NTFP harvest for personal use | 47 | 68 | 52 | 84 |
| Timber harvest for trade | 7 | 15 | 24 | 58 |
| NTFP harvest for trade | 27 | 68 | 9 | 14 |
Households collect a decreasing number of different NTFPs for personal use or trade the further they live from the forest massif (Spearman’s correlation, \( r = 0.777, N = 102, p < 0.001 \) (Figure 1). One reason for this decrease is obvious: the less forest area that exists in the village territory, the less people can collect NTFPs. Another reason is that people living near the forest massif must invest less time in searching for NTFPs that exist only in the massif (e.g., wild pigs, lemurs). A third reason is the decreasing quality of products, as is the case with tsinkī (Pandanus guillaumetii), for example. This plant is still well-represented in fragments surrounding villages far from the massif, but due to human population pressure plants of suitable quality for mat weaving are becoming rare. As a result, people do not collect tsinkī anymore and replace it with Lapiaria murecini, a Cyperaceae growing in marshlands (Fedele et al. 2011).

Close to the forest massif all households still have access to forest products and there is a collective orientation of all households to apply the customary rights of open access to all forest products. In the villages far from the forest massif we could observe growing dissatisfaction with regard to open access to forest products. Fragment owners fear that forest resources will not satisfy their future needs, especially for fuel and timber. Some farmers also began to ask for money from outsiders who want to cut timber in their fragments. Their dissatisfaction may influence the social cohesion of the community and has already resulted in social conflicts among villagers.

Households living far from the forest massif have found ways to adapt their livelihood strategies to the new context of degraded and limited remaining forest resources. Some forest products are replaced with alternative products growing in land use types other than forests. However, the use of alternative products often results in a forfeit of quality. For instance, the leaves of ravinta r (Gynoxys sp.) a palm species growing in forests (Byg and Balslev 2001), are used to build house roofs, but can be replaced by the leaves of ravinta (Ravenala sp.), growing in secondary vegetation. Ravenala is less resistant to rain and lasts only a few years. Other forest products such as high quality timber, certain edible roots or meat (e.g., from lemurs) must be bought at local markets because they are no longer available in the vicinity of the study villages. This adaptation of livelihood strategies has a significant outcome on livelihood systems: if products have to be bought, households become more dependent on cash availability through income generation activities, in turn, this has a negative influence on the social cohesion between villagers. Many households noted that in the past, families helped each other to cultivate their fields. Nowadays, people want to be paid for their work. Forest products such as tubers or fruits are replaced by products growing in crop and agroforestry systems. As a consequence, with decreasing availability of forest products, the increasing diversification of crop and agroforestry systems can be observed. Products from agroforestry systems can also be sold and allow households to increase their cash income. However, as described above, major obstacles for the expansion of agroforestry systems include the risk of cyclone damage, limited market access to sell fruits, and the high price fluctuations of the market crops.

Research results show that households living close to the forest massif depend more on forest resources than households living far from the massif. To explore households’ own perception about their dependency on forests, we asked them “What are the consequences of deforestation on your personal well-being?”, 59% of all answering families see negative consequences (details described in Urech et al. 2013). The most frequent negative consequences cited are that families will need more time to find necessary products, that income generation through timber and NTFP will decrease and that forest products will be of lower quality. However, our concluding question after the analysis of the specific consequences was: “Could you survive without forests?”, and 79% (N = 19) of the households living closest to the forest massif confirmed that they could survive without forests (Figure 2). Considering only the three villages within 0.2 to 2 hours walking distance of the forest massif, the percentage of people answering with “no” increased significantly and correlated inversely with distance (Spearman’s correlation, \( r = -0.234, N = 67, p = 0.008 \) ) to the massif. Surprisingly, in the fourth village furthest from the massif, 85% (N = 23) answered again with yes, they could survive without forests.

We associate the predominant perception close to the forest massif of not being dependent on forest resources with a low awareness of forest scarcity. Moreover, the strategy of households living close to the massif is still to clear forests to gain more arable land. This shows that for the decision-making process, forests are not perceived as very important in terms of their products, but rather as a future land resource for agriculture. However, in the village furthest from the forest massif, people are aware of the consequences of deforestation but have learned that they are able to survive with very limited forest resources.
CONCLUSION

The current livelihood strategies of local households are based on the traditional tavy rice cultivation practice, which leads to deforestation and forest fragmentation. The opportunities arising from exploitable forest resources do not seem beneficial enough to make households change their livelihood strategies to preserve those resources for the future. Although there is an existing potential for the commercialization of precious woods and NTFPs, currently it cannot be exploited due to nonexistent infrastructure, limited market access, a lack of an institutional framework and the absence of regulations that would allow a legal, sustainable and profitable trade in forest resources. In addition, the very slow growth of Dalbergia species as well as the currently intensive illegal logging (Randriamalala and Liu 2010) considerably reduce the potential for an ecologically sustainable exploitation.

Forest products are used as long as they are available. Once forest resources become scarce, people demonstrate the flexibility to adapt. Products are substituted and cultural values and rules are adapted accordingly. Nevertheless, it must be noted that all of our studied villages still have forest resources left. The tavy rotation cycle in these villages is 5–10 years, whereas it has decreased to three years in other regions of Madagascar (Styger et al. 1999, Hume 2006). Households in our study villages have not yet experienced the consequences of the high soil erosion and degradation that have occurred elsewhere on the island. If deforestation continues, the environmental consequences are likely to negatively impact agricultural production systems in the Manompana corridor in the future.

In order to improve the overall sustainability of livelihood systems and wellbeing of households, current agricultural practices should be transformed into permanent cultivation systems that (i) do not undermine soil fertility, (ii) produce enough crops to feed the growing local population and (iii) can co-exist with the remaining forests. Such improved production systems have to be designed and tested in close collaboration with the concerned households and farmer communities, so that the new practices are in line with livelihood strategies and the common obstacles to adoption are considered. Those obstacles are manifold: Households’ current livelihood strategies are based on experience and risk management, and may be wise with regard to their biophysical environment.

The tavy practice is flexible and less vulnerable to damages caused by cyclones than are irrigated rice fields (Brand 1998, Laney 2002). The fact that tavy is deeply anchored in Betsimisaraka culture and that innovation often is hampered by social pressure, adds an additional hurdle to the implementation of innovative technologies. Unsecured tenure rights are another obstacle for local households to diversify their traditional agricultural systems. Moreover, the high vulnerability of local households severely limits their motivation to experiment with and to invest time and resources in new agricultural practices. Therefore, any new technologies that are proposed should be low-input and adaptable to local conditions, and not too susceptible to cyclones. Households need access to additional and alternative income sources in order to allow them a minimal flexibility to experiment with innovative technologies. Moreover, a long-lasting collaboration between local traditional authorities, extension workers and agronomists is needed to adapt new technologies to given cultural factors and social circumstances and to involve local authorities in a common decision-making process.

In order to guarantee forest conservation, it is recommendable to harness the existing potential of forest resources in such a way that preserving forests becomes a more attractive option to households than clearing them. An institutional framework encouraging the sustainable use of these opportunities is vital. The community-based forest management project which was implemented in the Manompana corridor was a significant first step in the direction of beneficial and sustainable forest management and the support of local institutions created in the course of the project should be maintained to ensure their continuity. Income generation from forest products would also allow households to have an alternative source of cash income, which in turn would give them more flexibility to invest in agricultural improvement. It would thus be possible to ensure the future availability of forest resources and environmental services to a greater extent. However, community-based forest management can only be realized if livelihood systems as a whole are considered. Innovative approaches that address the current problems of rural livelihood systems and that can cope with the complexity of rural peoples’ realities are needed. The forestry sector should develop a more integrative landscape planning approach, widening the scope to include agricultural land use.

Our research shows that to counter the strategies leading to deforestation, changing one context factor or simply improving one sector of peoples’ realities will not be sufficient. National and international organizations are confronted with considerable challenges. They need a broad understanding of the different factors that influence people’s decision-making process, including socioeconomic, ecological, and cultural aspects. However, the local population must also contribute to the betterment of its current situation. While the ability of local households to change their livelihood strategies is limited by their given context, their willingness to change some of their habits, customs and traditions is indispensable for a successful collaboration between different institutions and the local population. A holistic understanding is the necessary starting point for further investigations and future interventions. However, particularly in regard to understanding aspects of cultural attachments, and the dilemma between collective orientation and individual innovation, will require further research by anthropologists or even psychologists.
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