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Introduction

• Agricultural intensification projects aim at identifying best practices.

• Innovative arrangements for increasing agricultural productivity.

• An increase in land productivity without corresponding proper handling may heighten postharvest losses at a greater magnitude than 40%.
Introduction

- Lack of effective postharvest storage technologies
- Postharvest pests (causing 20-30% loss)
- Less revenue due to the high postharvest losses, further aggravating poverty.
- Possible higher cost of production
Activities in last 5 years

- **2012/2013**: Diagnosis of postharvest handling constraints and the causes of postharvest loss of food in Babati.
- **2013/2014**: Participatory field testing of improved processing technologies and postharvest loss prevention technologies.
- **2014/2015 & 2015/2016**: Pilot-testing of processing/storage technologies at commercial level, farmers' training & technology dissemination.
- **2016/2017 and beyond**: Future or new locations: Dissemination and economic viability assessment of postharvest management technologies.

Africa RISING Postharvest research activities
Locations

Africa RISING NAFAKA Partnership Project Tanzania
Maize & Post Harvest technologies Demo Sites

Map of Tanzania showing locations of demonstration sites.
Baseline study results

- Significant quantity losses occur:
  - in the field (15%);
  - during processing (13-20%);
  - and during storage (15-25%).
- Postharvest processing activities carried out manually
- Almost entirely by women

Source: Abass et al., 2014; cross-sectional survey data, Dodoma and Manyara; N = 333
Results Cont...

- Little knowledge and low exposure to improved postharvest technologies.
- Many ineffective traditional storage methods still common
- Processing and storage pests among most important factors for losses.

Consequence of postharvest losses

• Inadequate food supply in many households;
• 41% received food aid.

Implication: PH processing operations and storage need special targeting
Establishment of postharvest management trials

Multiple field trials established to compare traditional storage techniques with improved ones in farmers’ stores and aggregation centers.
Establishment Cont...

Percent damaged grains at 30 week storage

- Hot-and-dry sites
- Cool-and-humid sites

Percentage

- Metal Silo Hermetic
- Metal Silo Phostoxin
- Plastic barrel hermetic
- Plastic barrel phostoxin
- ZeroFly®
- PICS
- PP Shumba
- PP without treatment
Observation: Even improved technologies perform differently

Outcome: Best-best technologies were identified/generated with farmers participation
Drying Technology

Problems addressed:

Challenge of unhygienic, slow drying

- ineffective PH handling operations such as shelling and winnowing.

- Food losses >> spillage, attacks by birds, small animals, rodents, insect infestations.

- Contaminations >> soil, fungi, and pesticide residues that affect quality and safety.

- Fungal contaminations continue in storage.
Technology: Drying on Collapsible dryer cases (CDC)

- A low-cost solution for safe, effective and convenient drying of a vast range of agricultural commodities
- Made of woven coated polyethylene (PE)

Benefit: Faster, safer and protected drying
Technology: Mechanical grain threshers

- 4.0 hp diesel engine model:
- Time efficiency: 1.45 - 1.74 h/1000 kg grain
- Shelling efficiency: 97%
- Fuel efficiency: 0.42 L diesel/ton;
- 0.4 US$/ton
Benefits:

• Save time for women for other agricultural/ HH activities;

• Lessen drudgery associated with manual shelling >> marketing;

• Assures improved grain quality >> access to markets where grain standards apply;

• Helps farmers to store clean grain and less prone to deterioration.
Dissemination Cont...

Technology: Air-tight storage
• **Subsistence farmers**: Store for year-round HH food supply.
  – losses by more than 90%; more food available for 2-3 months

• **Market-oriented farmers**: Store so as to sell when prices improve, earn more income.
  – Gross returns of up TZS 25 000/100kg after 6 month.
# Food security status of farm households

## Study results:

Prevalence of food insecurity among households Babati district, 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Per capita dietary energy intake less than 2200 kcal?</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>86.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Household headship

#### Male headed households

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Food insecure (Less than 2200Kcal/day)</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>85.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food secure (Equal to or more than 2200Kcal/day)</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>309</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Female headed households

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Food insecure (Less than 2200Kcal/day)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>90.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foods secure (Equal to or more than 2200Kcal/day)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>44</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Study results:

Adults: Low energy and protein intake

Children 2-5 years: Poor nutritional status

Energy-protein malnutrition among children and adults
Conclusions:
- Food insecurity and inadequate nutrition rampant among many households

Intervention
- The training of community service providers on how to utilize the available local crops to come up with nutrient dense foods.
Take away message

- Integrated approach towards postharvest management
  - i) At farm level operations
  - ii) Households to handle, store, process and prepare food (better nutrition at household level)
  - iii) Processing – the target is to empower farmers and youth to participate in value addition activities.

The question of cost vs benefit is important to farmers
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