Returns to investment in PHM: Benin and Mozambique

Munhamo Chisvo

The 1st ALL Africa Post Harvest Congress & Exhibition
28th-31th March 2017, Safari Park Hotel, Nairobi Kenya

Outline

1. Study objectives
2. Approach
3. Findings
4. Implications for policy
5. Recommendations

Study objectives

- Quantify crop production (maize, beans and cowpeas)
- Quantify post-harvest losses for maize, beans and cowpeas
- Find out the PHLM innovations adoption rate
- Carryout cost-benefit analysis (CBA)
  - hermetic bags
  - metal silos
- Make recommendations on financing

Sources of information

- Official statistics from Ministries of Agriculture
- Research commissioned by Helvetas and FANRPAN
- Internet sources
- Key informant interviews (triangulation)
- Validation workshop (Mozambique)

Cost-Benefit Modelling

- Lifespan of metal silo – 20 years (hermetic bag – 2 years)
- Assumption - project life, 20 years
- Compare treatment (adoption) and counterfactual scenarios
- Compute incremental costs (technology, pesticide)
- Compute incremental benefits (income, savings)

Cost-Benefit Modelling …/2

- Compute the incremental benefits
  - **Scenario A**: Farm sells at harvest: **(LS - HS Price) * QTY Preserved**
  - **Scenario B**: Farmer stores and sells later: **(LS Price * QTY Preserved)**

>> **Scenario B** has higher incremental benefits

>> **Scenario A** is already prudent, reducing losses by selling early

Cost-Benefit Modelling …/3

- Construct incremental cost and benefit cash-flows - 20 years
- Compute discount rate (*r*) using **Ramsey equation**
- Compute cost-benefit indicators
- Conduct sensitivity analysis

Ramsey Equation

- **$r = \rho + \mu g$**

Whereby:

- **r** is the discount rate;
- **ρ is** the rate at which people discount future over present consumption assuming that income is fixed. (ρ) is the product of two elements namely the **risk of catastrophe wiping out the gains from a programme** (L) and the **rate of pure time preference** (δ);
- δ is the **pure rate of time preference** - consumers are impatient and that because there is a chance they could die, would rather consume today not in future;
- μ is the **rate of per capita consumption growth**; and
- **g** is the **elasticity of the marginal utility of consumption** (the percentage fall in the marginal utility when consumption increases by one per cent).

Discount rate: Benin Example

ρ = 0.8% + 0.5=1.3%

- Risk of catastrophe (L) "crude death rate" 8 per 1,000 (0.8%)
- Rate of pure time preference assumed to be 0.5 (DFID Green Book)

μ=2

- Rate of per capita consumption growth (Dasgupta, Partha, 2006 estimates: **2** to 4)

g=5%

- Elasticity of marginal utility of consumption (proxy is GDP growth rate)
- Growth rate for Benin estimated at 5% per year for the foreseeable future

- ***r* = 1.3% + 2*5% = 1.3% + 10% = 11.3%**
  - Rounded off to **12%**, more conservative, rain-fed agriculture

Cost-Benefit Indicators Computed

- Net Present Value (NPV)
- Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)
- Internal Rate of Return (IRR)
- Payback Period
- Breakeven Point

Net Present Value

For calculation of NPV the following formula was used:

$$\mathrm{NPV}(i, N) = \sum_{t=0}^{N} \frac{R_t}{(1+i)^t}$$

Where:

t – is the time of the cash flow

i – is the discount rate, i.e. the return that could be earned per unit of time on an investment with similar risk.

R_t – is the net cash flow i.e. cash inflow – cash outflow, at time t.

Sensitivity Analysis Assumptions

- 20% less benefit from base case
- 20% more benefit from the base case
- 14% discount rate (more risky environment)
- 10% discount rate (assuming less risky environment)

FINDINGS

Validation Meeting Mozambique , March 2017

Benin Indicator Values – H. Bags (Scenario A: Sells)

Indicator	Maize	Beans	Cowpeas
Net-Present Value (CFAF)	-8.43	214.30	93.73
Benefit to Cost Ratio	0.66	2.39	1.61
Internal Rate of Return (%)	Negative	>10,000	465
Payback period (Years)	N/A	5	8
Breakeven point (%)	N/A	42	62

Benin Indicator Values – H. Bags (Scenario B: Stores)

Indicator	Maize	Beans	Cowpeas
Net-Present Value (CFAF)	209.32	986.87	708.63
Benefit to Cost Ratio	1.95	7.41	5.6
Internal Rate of Return (%)	>1,000	>1mln	>500,000
Payback period (Years)	7	1	5.6
Breakeven point (%)	51.5	13.5	18

Benin Indicator Values – Silo (Scenario A: Sells)

Indicator	Maize	Beans	Cowpeas
Net-Present Value (CFAF)	34.3	257.03	136.46
Benefit to Cost Ratio	1.31	3.31	2.28
Internal Rate of Return (%)	18	66	37
Payback period (Years)	11	3	5
Breakeven point (%)	76.5	30.2	45

Benin Indicator Values – Silo (Scenario B: Stores)

Indicator	Maize	Beans	Cowpeas
Net-Present Value (CFAF)	318.45	1,029.06	751.36
Benefit to Cost Ratio	3.87	10.26	7.76
Internal Rate of Return (%)	89	>1,700	1,685
Payback period (Years)	3	1	2
Breakeven point (%)	26	9.9	13

Mozambique Values – H. Bags (Scenario A: Sells)

Indicator	Maize	Beans	Cowpeas
Net-Present Value (MZN)	27.31	43,92	12.87
Benefit to Cost Ratio	2.5	3.42	1.71
Internal Rate of Return (%)	>50,000	>50,000	>50,000
Payback period (Years)	3	3	7
Breakeven point (%)	40	29	58.5

Mozambique Values – H. Bags (Scenario B: Stores)

Indicator	Maize	Beans	Cowpeas
Net-Present Value (MZN)	43.36	152.60	67.25
Benefit to Cost Ratio	3.55	9.4	4.7
Internal Rate of Return (%)	>50,000	>500,000	>500,000
Payback period (Years)	3	1	2
Breakeven point (%)	28	11	21

Mozambique Values – Silo (Scenario A: Sells)

Indicator	Maize	Beans	Cowpeas
Net-Present Value (MZN)	37.97	54.57	23.53
Benefit to Cost Ratio	6.05	8.26	4.13
Internal Rate of Return (%)	>50,000	>100,000	100
Payback period (Years)	2	1	2
Breakeven point (%)	16.6	12	24.3

Mozambique Values – Silo (Scenario B: Stores)

Indicator	Maize	Beans	Cowpeas
Net-Present Value (MZN)	56.83	163.26	67.21
Benefit to Cost Ratio	8.59	22.72	4.7
Internal Rate of Return (%)	200,000	>200,000	>200,000
Payback period (Years)	1	1	2
Breakeven point (%)	11.6	4.5	21.2

Implications of the findings

- PHM technologies are viable
- Viability depends on crop, losses (without), price changes
- Metal silo more viable in long-term
- Start-up costs for metal silo high in ST: 1.5 – 3 times more
- Farmer incomes increase as a proportion of Agri-GDP:
  - Mozambique: 2.77 - 3.87%
  - Benin: 1-2%

Implications of the findings …/2

- Vast potential for private sector to supply technologies
  - Silo prices can go up 7 times
  - Hermetic bag prices can go up 3 times
- Key issue is demand stimulation (e.g., social acceptability)
- Major barrier to adoption is initial investment cost

Recommendations

- PHM solutions should be country and context specific
- Disaggregate farmers by production & marketing behaviour
- Promoting PHLM Tech may require financial support
- Link repayment terms for PHLM credit to the payback period
- Blending instruments for financing PHLM can be considered

Recommendations …/2

- Potential role for import tax waivers on hermetic bags
- With 20-40% PHL:
  - Governments to balance investments (yields *versus* PHLM)
  - PHLM to be prioritised as import substitution strategy
- A case for PHLM to be included in farmer input programmes
- Multi-sectoral approach: ***Agriculture-Plus PHLM Strategy***

Thank You!!

FANRPAN
Food, Agriculture & Natural Resources Policy Analysis Network

agridea
DEVELOPING AGRICULTURE AND RURAL AREAS

AFAAS

HELVETAS
Swiss Intercooperation

Schweizerische Eidgenossenschaft
Confédération suisse
Confederazione Svizzera
Confederaziun svizra

Supported by

Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation SDC